

The lack of congruence among different ecological assessments: Implications for prioritizing protection of stream biodiversity



Scott Stranko, Jay Kilian, Andy Becker, Michael Kashiwagi, Matt Ashton, Pat Ciccotto, Ron Klauda, Dan Boward



Urgent Need for Conservation In Maryland



26% Human Population Increase by 2030





Maryland Office of Planning land cover data

Much Stream Taxonomic Diversity Has Been Lost From Urbanized Areas









Example of brook trout decline with impervious land cover increase

Land cover estimates from aerial photographs

2004

Maryland Darter Example

Maryland Darter (Etheostoma sellare) known from only 2 Maryland watersheds



Still, Many Good Places And Species Remain



Photo by Wayne Davis

Urgent Need for Conservation

Photo by Matt Ashton

Resources of Time and Money for Conservation are Severely <u>Limited</u>

Recent Conservation Research Indicates that:

- 1. Species Umbrellas do not ensure the conservation of <u>all</u> co-occurring species
- 2. Different Measures of Biodiversity Priority (Hot Spots) are NOT Congruent (Do Not Agree)



The Panther is an Umbrella Species, when we protect the Panther, we protect all our neighbors! Gredit Steve Carboi



Congruence of 2 Different Hot Spots (Leroux and Schmiegelow 2007)



Biological Conservation Priorities in Maryland

Maryland Biological Stream Survey Sites 1994-2008

Over 3,000 Sites

Fish Benthic Macroinvertebrate Freshwater mussel Crayfish Amphibian Reptile

Calculated Many Measures of Biological Diversity

<u>Biological Indices</u> Fish IBI Benthic Macroinvertebrate IBI

> <u>Imperiled Species</u> Total Fish Salamanders Crayfish Mussels <u>Richness</u>

Many Others

<u>Richness</u> Total Fish Salamanders Crayfish Mussels

Lack of Correlation Among Most Measures of Biological Diversity



Lack of Congruence Makes Sense When We Consider the Unique Habitat Requirements of Different Taxa Groups and Species



Calculated Many Measures of Biological Diversity

Biological Indices			
Fish IBI			
Benthic Macroinvertebrate IBI			
Imperiled Species			
Total			
Fish			
Salamanders			
Crayfish			
Mussels			
<u>Richness</u>			
Total			
Fish			
Salamanders			
Crayfish			
Mussels			

Correlation Coefficient		
	Fish IBI	Bug IBI
Bug IBI	0.27	
RTE Species Richness	0.21	0.16

IBI and RTE Species Example Choptank River Watershed



Example of IBI and Brook Trout Disappearance Timber Run

With Trout IBI = Fair (3.7)

Without Trout IBI = Good (4.3)

Example of IBI and Endangered Species Loss: IBI Score from Historical Maryland Darter Stream = Good (5.0)



RTE species of Mussels, Fish, and Crayfish Occur in Some Streams with Poor IBI Scores



Lack of Congruence Makes Sense When We Consider the Unique Habitat Requirements of Different Taxa Groups and Species



Strong Agreement Regarding Urbanization

All Measures of Biological Diversity Decline with Increased Urbanization



However, Affected at Different Levels



Irreplaceability? - Ferrier et al. 2000

Importance of Endemism



Fortunately - Many Conservation Regulations and organizations in MD

- •Use Classes
- Anti-Degradation (Tier II and III)
- •Endangered Species Act (Federal and State)
- Land Conservation Organizations

We Need More to Stop Biodiversity Loss

Planning That Protects Watersheds And Conserves Natural Lands

We Must Strategically Prioritize Our Limited Conservation Resources

Source Populations of RTE Species?



Lack of Correlation Among Most Measures of Biological Diversity



